

MEMORANDUM

To: All faculty, via Linda Stanhope, Chair, Faculty Executive Committee
From: Janet Anderson, Chair, Faculty Review Board
Date: November 5, 2008
Re: Section II Faculty Manual revisions

The attached document proposes revisions to section II of the Faculty Manual that alter procedures for visitors and lecturers reviews, reorder some parts of the section for clarity, and clarify language about sabbatical leaves. The FRB has considered comments it received in response to a draft that was circulated to the faculty on October 20 and has made subsequent revisions. The FRB has approved this proposal unanimously and now would like the faculty to approve it.

The proposed revisions regarding visitor and lecturer reviews simplify and standardize procedures for the review processes for these faculty ranks and clarify procedures for promotion to senior lecturer. Existing Faculty Manual language gives department members very little role in lecturer reviews while requiring interviews of department members in visitor reviews. The proposed language strikes a middle ground, requiring, for both visitor and lecturer reviews, that all tenure-track members of the department be consulted, though not in the context of individual interviews, and that senior members sign a cover letter.

While considering these revisions, the FRB realized that some parts of section II of the Faculty Manual could be rearranged to provide a better flow of topics. In particular, the section defining faculty ranks currently appears after review procedures for some ranks are described. Our proposed revision moves faculty rank definitions forward in section II and adds references to sections describing review processes for various ranks. Please note that the section on promotions to Professor is included to show the revised flow of sections. We are not proposing revisions to this section at this time. A number of suggestions for revision have been made which the FRB may take up next year.

The first section below presents proposed changes using Microsoft Word's "track changes" feature. Because there are many changes, including ones that rearrange sections of the text, we also present a "clean" draft of the proposed language, in which the proposed changes are incorporated without notation.

The final section of this packet presents proposed revisions of the section of the Faculty Manual on sabbatical leaves to clarify two points. The first revision is a formatting change that alters the indentation of three paragraphs to indicate that they pertain to all faculty rather than to tenured faculty only. The second is to alter an apparent error in the description of the number of years that must elapse to establish eligibility for a mini-sabbatical.

Proposed changes to review procedures for visitors and lecturers

FACULTY APPOINTMENTS, TENURE, PROMOTION, LEAVES

From FM II, 25-26

VI. PROCEDURES FOR THE REVIEW OF VISITING FACULTY AND LECTURERS (Effective November 15, 1991; Revised <insert date of faculty approval>)

A. PURPOSE FOR THE REVIEW

The Faculty Review Board believes that it is the responsibility of the College and the Faculty to encourage excellence regardless of the rank or possible tenure status of a faculty member. All faculty members who enjoy continued employment at the College should be periodically reviewed in order to meet our obligations to the students as well as to assist the professional development of the faculty member being reviewed. Systematic and formal communication regarding a faculty member's performance are necessary in order that faculty development can take place.

Deleted: teaching, college service, and scholarship

B. REVIEW PROCEDURES

1. Review of Visiting Faculty

All visiting faculty who have an appointment to a second year will receive the same developmental review that is given to tenure-track faculty at the end of the first year at the College. This review will consist of a report by the Chair of the Department to the Dean of the Faculty. The faculty member will receive a copy. The review will consist of

- An examination of the Course Evaluation Forms including a summary and analysis of student comments and numerical data.
- A discussion of syllabi, assignments, and other teaching material.
- A report on any classroom visits made by the chair.
- A review of any information provided by the faculty member on his or her research.

Any visiting faculty member who is a candidate for a contract that extends his or her appointment beyond a third year at Union will have a formal review of his or her performance since the beginning of his or her initial contract. The review should be completed by the department chair, in consultation with the tenure-track members of the department, and submitted to the FRB. It should include

- An examination of the Course Evaluation Forms including a summary and analysis of student comments and numerical data, an examination of course materials and a report on any classroom visits.
- A review of written work and evaluation of scholarship potential.
- Evaluation of departmental and college service.
- Evaluation of the faculty member's ability to fulfill departmental needs.

Deleted: — Interviews with all full-time department faculty.

- A cover letter signed by each tenure-track member of the candidate's department, indicating each member's agreement or disagreement with the department chair's request to extend the candidate's appointment. Junior members may choose not to sign the cover letter if they wish.

Deleted: ¶

The candidate must receive a copy of the final report (without any confidential material such as the signed cover letter) at least one week before it is submitted to the FRB. The candidate then has the option to attach written comments to the final report which will be forwarded to the FRB.

The FRB will be asked to determine whether or not the candidate's performance meets then-current college standards for extending a visitor's appointment. Visiting faculty are not eligible for tenure and therefore should not be held to the same evaluation standards that apply to those undergoing a tenure review. Teaching effectiveness should be the primary focus of this review, with secondary weight given to scholarship and lesser weight to service. Visitors who wish to gain an understanding of College-wide standards for tenure-track faculty are welcome to consult the Chair of the FRB or the Dean of Academic Departments.

Deleted: The FRB will consider and evaluate the candidate in terms of existing college-wide standards. The comments of the FRB will be given to the Department Chair, the Dean of the Faculty, and the Candidate. The FRB will not recommend for or against the appointment.

Deleted: procedures

Deleted: However, some visiting faculty later succeed in achieving a tenure-track position, or continue at Union for up to five years. Consequently, they should be encouraged to meet college-wide standards not only in teaching, but also in scholarship and service.

If the need to appoint a visitor beyond the third year arises unexpectedly, and a formal review has not previously taken place, it must be undertaken according to the procedures designated above before the appointment is made.

If a visiting faculty member applies for another position within the College for which a search has been undertaken and a formal review has not previously taken place, the material specified in the review procedures for contracts extending beyond the third year will be collected by the department chair and made available to the search committee, the members of the department, and the Dean of the Faculty prior to consideration of the candidate.

2. Review of Lecturers (effective April 2006)

Appointments for the position of Lecturer that are made subsequent to the initial contract require a clear demonstration of teaching excellence. A review of a lecturer is required before any contract subsequent to the initial contract can be offered, and will consist of a report by the Chair of the Department, written in consultation with the tenure-track members of the department, to the Dean of Academic Departments. The review will consist of

- An examination of the Course Evaluation Forms including a summary and analysis of students' comments and numerical data, an examination of course materials, and a report on any classroom visits.
- An evaluation of assigned co-curricular duties.
- An evaluation of departmental and college service.
- An evaluation of the faculty member's ability to fulfill departmental needs.
- A cover letter signed by each tenure-track member of the candidate's department, indicating each member's agreement or disagreement with the department chair's recommendation to extend the candidate's appointment. Junior members may choose not to sign the cover letter if they wish.

Deleted: as well as any other available information on teaching.

Deleted: /or

Any Lecturer receiving a contract that extends service at Union beyond three years must undergo a review by the FRB. The FRB will receive the Department Chair's review, along with copies of course evaluations. The FRB will be asked to determine whether or not the Lecturer's teaching and service meets then-current college standards for lecturer reappointment. Scholarship is not part of a lecturer's job description and hence is not considered in the review. The Lecturer or Senior Lecturer must be reviewed every three years thereafter, assuming continued employment at the College. A successful review is necessary but not sufficient for reappointment to a subsequent contract.

Proposed changes that reorder parts of Section II, add references to review sections, and describe procedures for promotion to Senior Lecturer

FM II, page 7

II. FACULTY RANKS AND THE CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION

B. PROCEDURES FOR PROMOTION TO PROFESSOR (effective February 3, 2000)

Deleted: III

Deleted: PROMOTIONS

Deleted: (effective February 3, 2000)¶

Comment [m1]: This should be section B

Deleted: A

Faculty to be eligible for promotion must normally meet one of the following criteria:

- A minimum of ten years of professional work as a faculty member or equivalent professional service, and tenured to the College.
- A minimum of five years in the rank of Associate Professor at Union College, and tenured to the College.

Recommendations for promotion to professor shall originate with the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of Academic Departments, the Chair of the Faculty Review Board, the Chair of the Department, or the faculty member himself or herself. The FRB itself may determine through its triennial review that consideration for promotion is appropriate. In submitting this recommendation, the factors that prompt the request should be listed. The Dean of the Faculty and the Chair of the FRB shall review annually the list of Associate Professors for the purpose of selecting candidates for promotion. The record of achievement since the last promotion or since the original appointment to the Faculty of Union College determines eligibility for promotion.

In reviewing a recommendation for promotion, the College considers primarily teaching ability and research activity (or comparable creative activity), for it regards teaching effectiveness and substantial professional achievement as inseparably related: each enriches the other. In addition, the reviewing committee (the FRB) considers professional involvement, services to the College, and in some instances, the rank structure within the department and the fields of competence of the teacher relative to the educational goals of the institution. Time-in-rank is not to be an arbitrary factor either for or against eligibility for promotion. All recommendations for promotion are transmitted to the Board of Trustees.

If a candidate has been nominated for consideration and wishes to proceed, he/she should provide the Department Chair with a portfolio containing the following information:

- A current curriculum vitae
- Any published material or the disciplinary equivalent
- Other scholarly work such as papers, grant applications, etc.
- Copies of the triennial reviews since the tenure decision,
- Any other relevant materials to support teaching the candidate wishes to provide.
- Any materials in support of service activities.
- A research, teaching, and service statement.

Deleted: with annual reviews attached

After reviewing the portfolio, the Chair and the (full) professors of the faculty member's department will send the portfolio and an accompanying recommendation to the FRB as to whether or not it should consider the candidate for promotion at this time. The FRB will then choose among the following procedures:

1. Following the review of the recommendation and the portfolio, the FRB may choose not to recommend the candidate to the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Dean of the Faculty for promotion at this time.
2. If, following the review of the departmental recommendation and the portfolio, the FRB concludes that the process of promotion should proceed, the FRB will follow the procedures outlined in a or b:
 - a. If the candidate has been in the rank of Associate Professor for less than fifteen years, the FRB will ask the Department Chair and the other (full) Professors in the Department to constitute a committee of at least two members (if no other full Professors are available they may be selected from the same Division as the candidate). The committee will solicit at least three external reviewers, one of which will be chosen from a list of three potential reviewers presented by the faculty member.

The candidate will submit to the Departmental Committee a curriculum vitae accompanied by any published materials or other work that the candidate wishes to submit to the external reviewers.

Upon receipt of the reports of the external reviewers, the departmental committee will write a short summary on the candidate's scholarship to submit along with the reviewers' letters to the FRB. Before the report is submitted to the FRB, the faculty member will be informed of potential criticisms and be given adequate time to respond.

After consideration of the departmental report and the letters of the reviewers, the FRB will or will not recommend promotion to the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Dean of the Faculty.

- b. If the candidate has been in the rank of Associate Professor for at least fifteen years, the FRB may consider the candidate for promotion on the basis of ex-

emplary teaching and service to the college and the profession. The FRB will assess the portfolios of candidates in this group and recommend for or against promotion on this basis to the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Dean of the Faculty.

In cases of a negative recommendation or a decision by the FRB not to proceed with the process, the FRB will write a letter with an explanation of the decision. The Dean of the Faculty or his/her designee will meet with and discuss the letter with the candidate.

In the case of a decision by the FRB to recommend promotion that is not accepted by the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of the Faculty will write a letter of explanation and meet to discuss the decision with the candidate.

A. FACULTY RANKS.

Deleted: B

Deleted: AND THE CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION

1. Instructor (effective January 1, 2003)

Appointment to the tenure-track rank of instructor may be for one, two, or three years, renewable or not in accordance with the letter of contract. New members of the Faculty, beginning at the rank of instructor and without the doctorate who are awarded the doctor's degree during the academic year, are raised in rank at once to Assistant Professor. Further, if all the requirements for the degree are completed by March 1st, there is an automatic salary increment to the level specified in the contract letter, this sum being pro-rated during remaining pay-periods of the contract year.

2. Assistant Professor

Appointment or reappointment at the tenure-track rank of assistant professor generally shall be for three years and is renewable unless the letter of contract specifies otherwise. Promotion to this rank requires the following:

- Normally, the Ph.D or its equivalent.
- Demonstrated professional competence.
- Scholarly activity and professional alertness.

Procedures for reappointment as an Assistant Professor are described in Part III below.

3. Associate Professor

Those appointed initially to the rank of associate professor receive a three-year contract, renewable with or without tenure in keeping with the provisions for determining tenure. Two appointments at this rank may be regarded as conferring tenure unless the College indicates to the contrary. Appointment or promotion to this rank normally has these requirements.

- The Ph.D. or its equivalent.
- Outstanding performance as a teacher

- Mature scholarship recognized by the profession.
- Continuous and substantial service to the College.

Procedures for tenure reviews are described in Part IV below.

4. Professor (effective February 2, 2000)

Appointment to the rank of full professor from within the faculty of Union College automatically confers tenure if not already granted and is recognition of a sustained contribution to the educational programs of the College and an established professional competence. Appointments to this rank from outside the Faculty shall be for three years, and a decision on tenure shall be made no later than the second year of this appointment. Any appointment from outside the faculty at the rank of associate or full professor that carries with it tenure shall require the participation ex-officio on the department search committee of a senior member of the Faculty Review Board. That member normally should be from the same division to which the new appointment shall be made.

If, in the process of hiring for an advertised assistant OR associate and/or full professor, it becomes clear that a finalist may be appointed at a senior level, the department chair should contact the chair of the Faculty Review Board to coordinate the involvement of the Faculty Review Board.

Promotion to this rank normally require these attainments:

- The Ph.D. or its equivalent.
- Excellent performance as a teacher since the tenure review.
- Continuing mature scholarship recognized by the profession and normally demonstrated in publications, exhibits, elections to office in national or international professional organizations, receipt of grants or awards, or similar marks of distinguished scholarly accomplishment.
- Continuing and sustained service to the College.

Review procedures for full-professor promotion reviews are described in section B below.

5. Non Tenure-Track Appointments (effective Nov. 15, 1991)

Deleted: Special

a. All appointments require authorization of the position by the Dean of the Faculty.

Deleted: Any special

b. Visiting Faculty.

— Candidates who have the qualifications appropriate to the ranks as described in sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 of this section, but who are recommended for appointment to a temporary position without a tenure-track line, are designated as Visiting faculty. These appointments are normally for one, two, or three

years. On occasion, a one- or two-year appointment may be made subsequent to the third year, but no individual may remain in a visiting position at the College for more than five years (teaching six courses a year) or after having taught a total of 30 courses as a full-time or part-time visitor. Review procedures for visiting faculty for whom an appointment is proposed beyond the third year are laid out in Part VI below.

Deleted: in total

Visiting faculty are eligible for faculty travel, faculty research funds, IEF grants, and participation in the governance system. The College allocates its funds for yearly raises, as well as special allocations, to all full-time faculty regardless of tenure-track or visiting status. Visiting faculty, however, do not participate in the triennial merit system.

Deleted: annual or

c. Lecturers, including Artists and Writers in Residence and Laboratory Coordinators (effective April 2006)

Deleted: -

Deleted: -

Deleted: -

Lecturers are faculty members whose principal responsibilities are instructional and curricular, and which involve departmental or college service. The lecturer category includes writers and artists in residence and laboratory coordinators whose primary responsibility is teaching. These appointments do not include scholarship responsibilities, but include curricular-related programmatic support activities for the department. In the case of writers and artists in residence, the teaching load is usually less than full-time, the service requirement is normally fulfilled through writing and artistic activity, and no additional service is expected. Lecturers normally should possess the same minimum qualifications as ranked faculty, but the title “lecturer” may also be granted to a person of high repute in a field of endeavor related to an academic discipline or to a person with significant professional experience relevant to the academic discipline. Appointment to the rank of Lecturer may be for one, two or three years, and may be renewable for terms not to exceed three years, subject to review and administrative approval. Lecturers are normally employed on a full-time contract basis, and shall have no expectation of an eventual tenure-track or tenured appointment.

Senior Lecturer

Departments may recommend for such promotions only those who are distinguished teachers and who make important contributions to their departments or to the college through service or co-curricular activities. A Senior Lecturer must serve six years of full-time teaching (or the part-time equivalent of six years of full-time teaching) at Union College before being recommended for promotion. The Senior Lecturer rank is associated with demonstrated teaching excellence and commitment to departmental and college service. Department chairs nominate lecturers for promotion and submit supporting materials, including evidence of teaching and service excellence, to the Faculty Review

Board, which can make a recommendation of promotion to the Dean of the Faculty.

Review procedures for appointment renewal for Lecturers and Senior Lecturers are laid out in Part VI below. Procedures for promotion to Senior Lecturer are laid out in section C below

Lecturers are eligible for faculty travel, IEF grants, Humanities Faculty Development Grants, Faculty Research Fund grants, and participation in the governance system.

The total number of lecturer positions at the College will not exceed ten percent of the faculty, as reported to the Integrated Post-Secondary Data System (IPEDS).



d. Adjunct Faculty

For those individuals hired on a per course basis the term Adjunct is used. Appointments may be as Adjunct Instructor, Adjunct Assistant Professor, Adjunct Associate Professor, or Adjunct Professor, depending on qualifications.

C. PROCEDURES FOR PROMOTION TO SENIOR LECTURER

Faculty to be eligible for promotion to senior lecturer must normally have taught for the equivalent of six years full-time, in a capacity other than an adjunct professor, and must currently hold an appointment as lecturer.

Recommendations for promotion to senior lecturer shall originate with the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of Academic Departments, the Chair of the Faculty Review Board, the Chair of the Department, or the faculty member himself or herself. The FRB itself may determine through its triennial review that consideration for promotion is appropriate. In submitting this recommendation, the factors that prompt the request should be listed. The Dean of the Faculty and the Chair of the FRB shall review annually the list of lecturers for the purpose of selecting candidates for promotion.

In reviewing a recommendation for promotion to senior lecturer, the College considers primarily teaching ability and service to the faculty member's department and to the College, including any co-curricular duties specified in the candidate's appointment letter.

If a candidate has been nominated for consideration and wishes to proceed, he/she should provide the Department Chair with a portfolio containing the following information:

Comment [m2]: The section deleted below is a duplication of material found in the section entitled "Procedures for the Review of Visiting Faculty and Lecturers"

Deleted: . . . Appointments for the position of Lecturer that are made subsequent to the initial contract require a clear demonstration of teaching excellence. A review of a lecturer is required before any contract subsequent to the initial contract can be offered, and will consist of a report by the Chair of the Department to the Dean of Academic Departments.¶

¶ . . . The review, written by the Department Chair, will consist of:¶
— An examination of the Course Evaluation Forms including a summary and analysis of students' comments and numerical data, as well as any other available information on teaching.¶
— An evaluation of departmental and/or college service.¶
— An evaluation of the faculty member's ability to fulfill departmental needs.¶

¶ . . . Any Lecturer receiving a contract that extends service at Union beyond three years must undergo a review by the FRB. The FRB will receive the Department Chair's review, along with copies of course evaluations. The FRB will be asked to determine whether or not the Lecturer's teaching meets then-current college standards. The Lecturer or Senior Lecturer must be reviewed every three years thereafter, assuming continued employment at the College. A successful review is necessary but not sufficient for reappointment to a subsequent contract

Deleted: . . .

Formatted: Indent: Left: 36 pt

Deleted: . . .

- A current curriculum vitae
- Copies of any triennial reviews
- A teaching and service statement
- Any relevant materials to support teaching the candidate wishes to provide
- Any materials in support of service activities, including co-curricular activities

Formatted: Underline

After reviewing the portfolio, the Chair and the tenure-track professors of the faculty member's department will send the portfolio and an accompanying recommendation to the FRB as to whether or not it should consider the candidate for promotion at this time. The FRB will then determine whether or not to recommend to the Dean of the Faculty that the candidate be promoted at this time. If the FRB chooses not to recommend the candidate to the Dean of the Faculty for promotion at this time, then the FRB will write a letter to the Dean of the Faculty with an explanation of the decision. The Dean of the Faculty or his/her designee will meet with the candidate to discuss the letter.

In the case of a decision by the FRB to recommend promotion that is not accepted by the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of the Faculty will write a letter of explanation and meet to discuss the decision with the candidate.

Finally: Section II APPOINTMENTS OF TENURE-TRACK FACULTY MEMBERS should become Section III.

Above revisions are shown below as they would appear without proposed changes highlighted, starting on Faculty Manual Section II, page 2, following Section II, part I, entitled, "Faculty Resolution on Professional Ethics."

II. FACULTY RANKS AND THE CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION

A. FACULTY RANKS

1. Instructor (effective January 1, 2003)

Appointment to the tenure-track rank of instructor may be for one, two, or three years, renewable or not in accordance with the letter of contract. New members of the Faculty, beginning at the rank of instructor and without the doctorate who are awarded the doctor's degree during the academic year, are raised in rank at once to Assistant Professor. Further, if all the requirements for the degree are completed by March 1st, there is an automatic salary increment to the level specified in the contract letter, this sum being pro-rated during remaining pay-periods of the contract year.

2. Assistant Professor

Appointment or reappointment at the tenure-track rank of assistant professor generally shall be for three years and is renewable unless the letter of contract specifies otherwise. Promotion to this rank requires the following:

- Normally, the Ph.D or its equivalent.
- Demonstrated professional competence.
- Scholarly activity and professional alertness.

Procedures for reappointment as an Assistant Professor are described in Part III below.

3. Associate Professor

Those appointed initially to the rank of associate professor receive a three-year contract, renewable with or without tenure in keeping with the provisions for determining tenure. Two appointments at this rank may be regarded as conferring tenure unless the College indicates to the contrary. Appointment or promotion to this rank normally has these requirements.

- The Ph.D. or its equivalent.
- Outstanding performance as a teacher
- Mature scholarship recognized by the profession.
- Continuous and substantial service to the College.

Procedures for tenure reviews are described in Part IV below.

4. Professor (effective February 2, 2000)

Appointment to the rank of full professor from within the faculty of Union College automatically confers tenure if not already granted and is recognition of a sustained contribution to the educational programs of the College and an established professional competence. Appointments to this rank from outside the Faculty shall be for three years, and a decision on tenure shall be made no later than the second year of this appointment. Any appointment from outside the faculty at the rank of associate or full professor that carries with it tenure shall require the participation ex-officio on the department search committee of a senior member of the Faculty Review Board. That member normally should be from the same division to which the new appointment shall be made.

If, in the process of hiring for an advertised assistant OR associate and/or full professor, it becomes clear that a finalist may be appointed at a senior level, the department chair should contact the chair of the Faculty Review Board to coordinate the involvement of the Faculty Review Board.

Promotion to this rank normally require these attainments:

- The Ph.D. or its equivalent.
- Excellent performance as a teacher since the tenure review.
- Continuing mature scholarship recognized by the profession and normally demonstrated in publications, exhibits, elections to office in national or international professional organizations, receipt of grants or awards, or similar marks of distinguished scholarly accomplishment.
- Continuing and sustained service to the College.

Review procedures for full-professor promotion reviews are described in section B below.

5. Non Tenure-Track Appointments (effective Nov. 15, 1991)

- a. All appointments require authorization of the position by the Dean of the Faculty.
- b. Visiting Faculty.

Candidates who have the qualifications appropriate to the ranks as described in sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 of this section, but who are recommended for appointment to a temporary position without a tenure-track line, are designated as Visiting faculty. These appointments are normally for one, two, or three years. On occasion, a one- or two-year appointment may be made subsequent to the third year, but no individual may remain in a visiting position at the College for more than five years (teaching six courses a year) or after having taught a total of 30 courses as a full-time or part-time visitor. Review proce-

dures for visiting faculty for whom an appointment is proposed beyond the third year are laid out in Part VI below.

Visiting faculty are eligible for faculty travel, faculty research funds, IEF grants, and participation in the governance system. The College allocates its funds for yearly raises, as well as special allocations, to all full-time faculty regardless of tenure-track or visiting status. Visiting faculty, however, do not participate in the triennial merit system.

- c. Lecturers, including Artists and Writers in Residence and Laboratory Coordinators (effective April 2006)

Lecturers are faculty members whose principal responsibilities are instructional and curricular, and which involve departmental or college service. The lecturer category includes writers and artists in residence and laboratory coordinators whose primary responsibility is teaching. These appointments do not include scholarship responsibilities, but include curricular-related programmatic support activities for the department. In the case of writers and artists in residence, the teaching load is usually less than full-time, the service requirement is normally fulfilled through writing and artistic activity, and no additional service is expected. Lecturers normally should possess the same minimum qualifications as ranked faculty, but the title “lecturer” may also be granted to a person of high repute in a field of endeavor related to an academic discipline or to a person with significant professional experience relevant to the academic discipline. Appointment to the rank of Lecturer may be for one, two or three years, and may be renewable for terms not to exceed three years, subject to review and administrative approval. Lecturers are normally employed on a full-time contract basis, and shall have no expectation of an eventual tenure-track or tenured appointment.

Senior Lecturer

Departments may recommend for such promotions only those who are distinguished teachers and who make important contributions to their departments or to the college through service or co-curricular activities. A Senior Lecturer must serve six years of full-time teaching (or the part-time equivalent of six years of full-time teaching) at Union College before being recommended for promotion. The Senior Lecturer rank is associated with demonstrated teaching excellence and commitment to departmental and college service. Department chairs nominate lecturers for promotion and submit supporting materials, including evidence of teaching and service excellence, to the Faculty Review Board, which can make a recommendation of promotion to the Dean of the Faculty.

Review procedures for appointment renewal for Lecturers and Senior Lecturers are laid out in Part VI below. Procedures for promotion to Senior Lecturer are laid out in section C below

Lecturers are eligible for faculty travel, IEF grants, Humanities Faculty Development Grants, Faculty Research Fund grants, and participation in the governance system.

The total number of lecturer positions at the College will not exceed ten percent of the faculty, as reported to the Integrated Post-Secondary Data System (IPEDS).

d. Adjunct Faculty

For those individuals hired on a per course basis the term Adjunct is used. Appointments may be as Adjunct Instructor, Adjunct Assistant Professor, Adjunct Associate Professor, or Adjunct Professor, depending on qualifications.

B. PROCEDURES FOR PROMOTION TO PROFESSOR

(effective February 3, 2000)

Faculty to be eligible for promotion must normally meet one of the following criteria:

- A minimum of ten years of professional work as a faculty member or equivalent professional service, and tenured to the College.
- A minimum of five years in the rank of Associate Professor at Union College, and tenured to the College.

Recommendations for promotion to professor shall originate with the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of Academic Departments, the Chair of the Faculty Review Board, the Chair of the Department, or the faculty member himself or herself. The FRB itself may determine through its triennial review that consideration for promotion is appropriate. In submitting this recommendation, the factors that prompt the request should be listed. The Dean of the Faculty and the Chair of the FRB shall review annually the list of Associate Professors for the purpose of selecting candidates for promotion. The record of achievement since the last promotion or since the original appointment to the Faculty of Union College determines eligibility for promotion.

In reviewing a recommendation for promotion, the College considers primarily teaching ability and research activity (or comparable creative activity), for it regards teaching effectiveness and substantial professional achievement as inseparably related: each enriches the other. In addition, the reviewing committee (the FRB) considers professional involvement, services to the College, and in some instances, the rank structure within the department and the fields of competence of the teacher relative to the educational goals of the institution. Time-in-rank is not to be an arbitrary factor

either for or against eligibility for promotion. All recommendations for promotion are transmitted to the Board of Trustees.

If a candidate has been nominated for consideration and wishes to proceed, he/she should provide the Department Chair with a portfolio containing the following information:

- A current curriculum vitae
- Any published material or the disciplinary equivalent
- Other scholarly work such as papers, grant applications, etc.
- Copies of the triennial reviews since the tenure decision with annual reviews attached.
- Any other relevant materials to support teaching the candidate wishes to provide.
- Any materials in support of service activities.
- A research, teaching, and service statement.

After reviewing the portfolio, the Chair and the (full) professors of the faculty member's department will send the portfolio and an accompanying recommendation to the FRB as to whether or not it should consider the candidate for promotion at this time. The FRB will then choose among the following procedures:

1. Following the review of the recommendation and the portfolio, the FRB may choose not to recommend the candidate to the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Dean of the Faculty for promotion at this time.
2. If, following the review of the departmental recommendation and the portfolio, the FRB concludes that the process of promotion should proceed, the FRB will follow the procedures outlined in a or b:
 - a. If the candidate has been in the rank of Associate Professor for less than fifteen years, the FRB will ask the Department Chair and the other (full) Professors in the Department to constitute a committee of at least two members (if no other full Professors are available they may be selected from the same Division as the candidate). The committee will solicit at least three external reviewers, one of which will be chosen from a list of three potential reviewers presented by the faculty member.

The candidate will submit to the Departmental Committee a curriculum vitae accompanied by any published materials or other work that the candidate wishes to submit to the external reviewers.

Upon receipt of the reports of the external reviewers, the departmental committee will write a short summary on the candidate's scholarship to submit along with the reviewers' letters to the FRB. Before the report is submitted to the FRB, the faculty member will be informed of potential criticisms and be given adequate time to respond.

After consideration of the departmental report and the letters of the reviewers, the FRB will or will not recommend promotion to the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Dean of the Faculty.

- b. If the candidate has been in the rank of Associate Professor for at least fifteen years, the FRB may consider the candidate for promotion on the basis of exemplary teaching and service to the college and the profession. The FRB will assess the portfolios of candidates in this group and recommend for or against promotion on this basis to the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Dean of the Faculty.

In cases of a negative recommendation or a decision by the FRB not to proceed with the process, the FRB will write a letter with an explanation of the decision. The Dean of the Faculty or his/her designee will meet with and discuss the letter with the candidate.

In the case of a decision by the FRB to recommend promotion that is not accepted by the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of the Faculty will write a letter of explanation and meet to discuss the decision with the candidate.

C. PROCEDURES FOR PROMOTION TO SENIOR LECTURER

Faculty to be eligible for promotion to senior lecturer must normally have taught for the equivalent of six years full-time, in a capacity other than an adjunct professor, and must currently hold an appointment as lecturer.

Recommendations for promotion to senior lecturer shall originate with the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of Academic Departments, the Chair of the Faculty Review Board, the Chair of the Department, or the faculty member himself or herself. The FRB itself may determine through its triennial review that consideration for promotion is appropriate. In submitting this recommendation, the factors that prompt the request should be listed. The Dean of the Faculty and the Chair of the FRB shall review annually the list of lecturers for the purpose of selecting candidates for promotion.

In reviewing a recommendation for promotion to senior lecturer, the College considers primarily teaching ability and service to the faculty member's department and to the College, including any co-curricular duties specified in the candidate's appointment letter.

If a candidate has been nominated for consideration and wishes to proceed, he/she should provide the Department Chair with a portfolio containing the following information:

- A current curriculum vitae
- Copies of any triennial reviews
- A teaching and service statement
- Any relevant materials to support teaching the candidate wishes to provide
- Any materials in support of service activities, including co-curricular activities

After reviewing the portfolio, the Chair and the tenure-track professors of the faculty member's department will send the portfolio and an accompanying recommendation to the FRB as to whether or not it should consider the candidate for promotion at this time. The FRB will then determine whether or not to recommend to the Dean of the Faculty that the candidate be promoted at this time. If the FRB chooses not to recommend the candidate to the Dean of the Faculty for promotion at this time, then the FRB will write a letter to the Dean of the Faculty with an explanation of the decision. The Dean of the Faculty or his/her designee will meet with the candidate to discuss the letter.

In the case of a decision by the FRB to recommend promotion that is not accepted by the Dean of the Faculty, the Dean of the Faculty will write a letter of explanation and meet to discuss the decision with the candidate.

III. APPOINTMENTS OF TENURE-TRACK FACULTY MEMBERS

(Sections III through V are not reprinted here—they remain unchanged.)

VI. PROCEDURE FOR THE REVIEW OF VISITING FACULTY AND LECTURERS

See proposed changes above.

**Proposed revisions to section on sabbatical leaves
From FM II, page 29**

VIII. LEAVES

A. SABBATICAL LEAVES (effective November 1992)

Faculty members holding tenure-track appointments are eligible to apply for a sabbatical leave. The primary purpose of a sabbatical is to improve the faculty member's competence as a teacher-scholar at Union by involvement in activities for which are normally unavailable in the regular teaching year. The activity should be chosen to provide professional growth of the individual such as by participating in a more advanced and broader scope of research; developing one's capabilities in an allied or interdisciplinary area; or engaging in a plan of study aimed at initiating a program of new research. Any teaching or consulting to be done on sabbatical must be demonstrably part of the overall plan of professional growth.

The application for sabbatical leave should provide (a) a full and clear explanation of the plan of study during leave and (b) report on the arrangements made or pending for the carrying out of that study. It should be reviewed and approved by the chair of the applicant's department and then transmitted, with a letter indicating the chair's approval, to the Dean of the Faculty. The Faculty Review Board examines the application and recommends for or against to the President who transmits recommended applications to the Board of Trustees. Since the Board must make its decision in time for a replacement, if one is needed to be engaged, faculty members should submit their applications no later than January first preceding the academic year in which the leave is to be taken.

Upon return from a sabbatical leave of absence, the faculty member is expected to submit a report of activities during the sabbatical leave to the Dean of the Faculty with whom it must be filed as part of the permanent dossier. Such a report must be filed during the year immediately following the sabbatical. A faculty member may not be considered for a sabbatical leave if the report for the previous sabbatical has not been filed.

Although treatment of claims is reported to have lacked uniformity, Revenue Ruling No. 64-176 provides in general that the expenses of a teacher for sabbatical leave travel will be deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses only if, and to the extent that, the travel is directly related to the duties of the teacher. Anyone planning travel for the purpose of sabbatical study should obtain a copy of the ruling and confer with a local Internal Revenue official in advance of filing a claim.

1. Tenure-track junior faculty

Junior faculty may apply for a half-year sabbatical with full pay or a full-year sabbatical at half pay. This sabbatical does not affect the first sabbatical as a tenured faculty member which occurs in the seventh year, just after the completion of a suc-

cessful tenure review or, if the tenure review occurs before the sixth year, during the seventh year of employment in a tenure-track position at the college. (Approved by the Board of Trustees January 13, 1993.)

In special circumstances, a junior faculty member may be able to combine one of the above sabbatical leaves with an unpaid leave of absence to enable participation in a year-long research program.

2. Regular tenured faculty (amended effective May 10, 2005)

Tenured members of the faculty are entitled to apply in writing for a sabbatical leave equivalent to one-half of a normal load for the academic year on full salary or a full year on half salary, to be taken during their seventh year of teaching on the Union faculty after six years of full-time teaching, or the equivalent, following a tenure decision or a previous sabbatical leave. Alternatively, one may apply for a one-term (2 course) sabbatical leave on full salary after three years of full-time teaching, or the equivalent, following a tenure decision or a previous sabbatical leave. The sabbatical clock will normally restart with the year following the year of the leave.

Chairs of departments try to work out a rotating schedule of sabbaticals, so that no department will be severely handicapped during any particular year by the absence of faculty members on sabbatical leave. Normally the department chair will only replace a faculty member on a one-term leave if absolutely necessary and, in that case, only with adjuncts. Since the purpose of a sabbatical leave is to improve the faculty member's competence as a teacher-scholar at Union, the College expects that anyone granted sabbatical leave will return to teach here for at least one year after termination of the leave.

Comment [m3]: We propose that this paragraph and the paragraphs below be aligned as shown. Currently they are indented further so that they are aligned with the paragraph immediately above. As such, it is not clear that they pertain to all faculty.

Deleted: such

Deleted: the

The College recognizes that, on occasion, circumstances may force a faculty member to change plans after they have been approved. In such situations the faculty member shall notify the Dean of the Faculty and obtain approval for the changed plans. The Dean may consult the FRB before approving such a change in plans.

Time spent on leaves of absence without salary normally does not count towards the six (or three in the alternate option outlined above) years of full-time teaching required for sabbatical leave. A faculty member may not apply for a sabbatical leave immediately following a leave of absence. Exceptions to this policy must be approved by the Dean of the Faculty in consultation with the FRB.

Deleted: four

Formatted: Font: Not Bold