

COMMON CURRICULUM PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

[Adopted by the General Education Board on 15 July 2013. Revised May 2015; March 2016; September 2017; August 2018]

I. BACKGROUND

Our accrediting agency, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education, requires assessment of general education programs. It is a condition of our accreditation supported by the college administration. In response to the academic reorganization (including creation of the new position of Director of General Education), bringing General Education into alignment with the new Union Education framework, and intractable problems associated with provisional assessment plans drawn up for the then-part B of Gen Ed and the absence of any assessment plan for Clusters, the AAC charged the Gen Ed Board and Director of General Education in November 2011 to develop a new assessment plan for the Common Curriculum (General Education) based on broad programmatic learning outcomes in place of existing or planned assessment for the program. (FYP and SRS were specifically exempted for already having established assessment processes.)

Middle States requires that assessment be a) useful, b) cost-effective, c) reasonably accurate and truthful, d) planned, and e) organized, systematized, and sustained. The logical outcome of the previous assessment plan for Gen Ed failed both a. and b. Fully implemented, it would have resulted in many hundreds of individual student assessments each term. In many cases individual instructors would have been responsible for developing their own assessment instruments and conducting dozens of individual student assessments in a term. Further, no faculty member, department, or Gen Ed Board could possibly process cumulatively thousands of reports to produce useful information about the program and our students' learning. That most departments do not report on Common Curriculum assessment in their annual reports, despite nominally being expected to do so, testifies to the multiple problems of the previous approaches. Based on the evidence of a mock sample of students no such burden will fall on instructors under our proposed new assessment and we will meet all of the Middle States requirements, especially a. and b.

Beginning in May 2012, the Gen Ed Board determined to take a very different approach. Above all we went back to the simple principle that the general education program embodies the essential foundations for a Liberal Arts education. It should express what we value most about the Liberal Arts: critical thinking and analytical reasoning, creativity, and reflective learning as developed through the breadth of intellectual traditions and disciplines represented in the Liberal Arts. We concluded that the Common Curriculum learning outcomes should therefore focus on these critical values and purposes. We chose to create an assessment that went beyond a grab bag of individual distribution requirements unconnected to one another and without any kind of broad perspective informing them.

From those principles, we concluded that targeted assessment by portfolio makes the most sense. It allows us to select a small but useful sample of students in each entering class. Through portfolios that focus on broad learning outcomes in each of the courses students complete in the program, we can see and evaluate the impact of the Common Curriculum on real students over

their academic careers and use the findings as the basis to evaluate the program and strive for its improvement. This plan replaces all current Common Curriculum assessment except existing assessment programs for FYP and SRS; both will remain unchanged.

II. COMMON CURRICULUM LEARNING OUTCOMES

II.A. PREMISE

The premise for the common learning outcomes lies in the Union Education framework, the recent study of the Liberal Arts by Professor Mark Roche at the University of Notre Dame, and Union College president Stephen Ainlay's concept of 'think, connect, act.' Roche identified three complementary purposes of a Liberal Arts education: 1) the **practical value** of critical thinking and communication skills that can be applied beyond college or university education; 2) the **intrinsic worth** of the Liberal Arts disciplines and intellectual experiences gained by studying broadly in them; 3) the **idealistic purpose** of the Liberal Arts in that they encourage, even demand, that one ask meaningful questions in the course of becoming someone who lives a reflective life.

II.B. COMMON CURRICULUM LEARNING OUTCOMES

The following learning outcomes with instructions and explanations have been revised to meet recommendations in the Director of Assessment's review of the CC assessment program in summer 2017. Through the Common Curriculum, Union students will develop the breadth of knowledge and flexibility of mind needed to participate in meaningful conversations relevant to particular disciplines, the Academy, local society, or the global community. They will do so by achieving the following learning outcomes across the breadth of Liberal Arts represented in the Common Curriculum requirements. In doing so, they will advance important foundational and differentiating goals in the Union College Strategic Plan (2013).

- A. **Communicate Critical and Analytical Thinking.** *Students will examine, evaluate, and apply problem-solving techniques to evidence, data, objects, artefacts, arguments, and theories according to the diverse analytical traditions of the Liberal Arts; students will communicate clearly and correctly the results of such analysis.* **Explanation:** this learning outcome emphasizes the need to learn and practice critical thinking in the breadth of disciplines and analytical traditions in the Liberal Arts. **Assessment:** instructors assess student learning in this outcome by evaluating a representative sample of assignments. Learning outcome A encompasses the following learning goals in the Strategic Plan and assessment of it should incorporate those goals: **F1-G6:** Union students will graduate with the skills needed to communicate clearly and effectively, work both independently and collaboratively, have developed information, technological, and visual literacy, be prepared to live and work in a culturally-diverse world, and understand ethical considerations and act upon them; **D2-G2,** Union students will receive a broad and deep education that includes exposure to important and distinctive connections within and across the full spectrum of disciplines, including the arts, humanities, social sciences, physical and natural sciences, mathematics, and engineering; **D2-G3,** Union students will learn through a combination of theory and practice, using both critical thinking and expertise.

- B. Make Connections or Original Contributions.** *Through their writings, theories, problems, designs, objects of art, and other projects students will make connections or original contributions to questions and concerns relevant to a particular discipline, multiple disciplines, the Academy, local society, or the global community.* **Explanation:** this learning outcome emphasizes the importance of deliberately using students' coursework to engage issues, debates, schools of thought, and the like relevant to particular disciplines as well as the Academy, local society, or the global community. **Assessment:** instructors assess student learning in this outcome by evaluating a representative sample of assignments. Learning outcome B encompasses the following learning goals in the Strategic Plan and assessment of it should incorporate those goals: **F1-G3**, Union students will develop an attitude of inquiry: they will ask questions that matter, and develop the capacity to engage complex challenges with skill, creativity, and confidence; **D2-G1**, Union students will engage in disciplinary, interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary approaches, and will have opportunities to learn at the intersection of fields of study; **D2-G4**, Union students will develop a diverse set of skills that can be applied across a spectrum of disciplines and future careers; also relevant components of goal **D2-G2**.
- C. Reflect on Their Learning.** *Students will demonstrate the ability to link their experiences in the Common Curriculum with their intellectual development as lifelong learners, including possible career and life paths.* **Explanation:** this learning outcome may be viewed as asking the students to draw together the practical, intrinsic, and idealistic value of the Liberal Arts as they relate to being a life-long learner and reflecting on a meaningful life. **Assessment:** the Gen Ed Board assesses learning outcome C indirectly through a student reflective essay and student interview; instructors provide direct assessment of this learning outcome if it is observed in their classes. Learning outcome C encompasses the following learning goals in the Strategic Plan and assessment of it should incorporate those goals: **F1-G2**, Union students will discover lifelong intellectual interests and strive to excel in them; **F2-G4**, Union students will develop a sense of themselves as a "whole person," with the skills necessary for the pursuit of life-long learning, global citizenship and effective work with others, through co-curricular programs that complement the academic mission; also relevant components of goal **D2-G4**.

IIC. ADDITIONAL FYP/FYP-H LEARNING OUTCOMES for Outcome A

First-Year Preceptorial (FYP) and Honors Preceptorial (FYP-H) have more specific learning outcomes under Learning Outcome A, as follows:

- A1. DISCUSS IDEAS:** critically and respectfully engages in dialogue with others about ideas in texts as well as those expressed in class.
- A2. READ TEXTS CRITICALLY:** shows an understanding of/ability to evaluate complex and sophisticated ideas from multiple and diverse perspectives.
- A3. DEVELOP EFFECTIVE ARGUMENTS:**
- A3a.** Supports a focused thesis, including analysis of evidence to support conclusions.
 - A3b.** Organizes information logically and clearly in essays that guide readers through the text
 - A3c.** Expresses ideas clearly and appropriately, with few, if any, grammar, usage, and spelling errors

A3d. Integrates evidence into one's own argument (e.g., uses quotations appropriately, correct citation, etc.).

A4. INCORPORATE REVISION into the writing process as a means of improving critical thinking and the expression of ideas.

IID. ADDITIONAL SRS/SCH-150 LEARNING OUTCOMES for Outcome A

The Sophomore Research Seminar (SRS) and Scholars Research Seminar (SCH-150) have more specific learning outcomes under Learning Outcome A, as follows:

A1. DEVELOP A RESEARCH TOPIC: Formulate a clear, focused research question or thesis appropriate to the topic of inquiry.

A2. FIND EVIDENCE: Identify and locate evidence appropriate for examining a research question or thesis.

A3. EVALUATE EVIDENCE: Critically and ethically analyze evidence obtained for examination of a research question or thesis.

A4. DEVELOP AN EVIDENCE-BASED ARGUMENT: Develop and organize a logical argument grounded in the analysis of evidence that supports or refutes a research question or thesis.

A5. PRESENT RESEARCH FINDINGS: Present a logical analytical argument supported by evidence in an appropriate written form without errors of grammar, usage, and spelling.

A6. PRACTICE PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS OF CITATION: Incorporate and cite evidence in a manner that meets the professional standards of the discipline most appropriate for the topic of inquiry.

IIIE. ASSESSMENT EVALUATION RUBRIC

Faculty assess all CC learning outcomes according to this standard rubric or proficiencies.

- **Exceptional:** Demonstrates complete learning of the outcome with original and creative contributions; learning exceeds the highest standards for the level and difficulty of the course.
- **Mastery:** Demonstrates complete learning of the outcome without mistakes or flaws; learning meets the highest standards for the level and difficulty of the course.
- **Proficient:** Demonstrates complete or nearly complete learning of the outcome without mistakes or flaws; learning meets the acceptable standard for the level and difficulty of the course.
- **Developmental:** Demonstrates incomplete learning of the outcome and features some minor mistakes and flaws; learning meets the acceptable standard for the level and difficulty of the course.
- **Insufficient:** Demonstrates little or no learning of the outcomes and features frequent, sometimes major mistakes and flaws; learning is below the acceptable standard for the level and difficulty of the course.

IIF. LEARNING OUTCOMES and THE STRATEGIC PLAN

The content and distribution requirements (i.e. overall structure) of the Common Curriculum advances students' breadth of knowledge per goal F1-G5 in the Strategic Plan, that Union students will graduate with deep and broad knowledge; deep knowledge is advanced by majors and minors. There are three goals in the Union College Strategic Plan that general education can, should, and sometimes does contribute to, but which are not well integrated into the program as it exists or its learning outcomes. They are: **D2-G1**, Union students will engage in disciplinary,

interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary approaches, and will have opportunities to learn at the intersection of fields of study; **D1-G3**, Union students will develop and enhance their understanding of their own and others' race, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, and other dimensions of our diverse community and cultures; **F2-G4**, Union students will develop a sense of themselves as a "whole person," with the skills necessary for the pursuit of life-long learning, global citizenship and effective work with others, through co-curricular programs that complement the academic mission. The Common Curriculum predates both strategic plans by some years. The program from its inception struggled to build into it true interdisciplinarity per D2-G1 and the deliberateness of students engaging in interdisciplinary or multi-disciplinary work in the CC can be highly variable. This is a challenge that any future general education program should address and resolve; otherwise, the academic requirements of the college should be evaluated and revised to ensure all students meet this goal, for example, by requiring an interdisciplinary minor for any student who does not major in a program with strong interdisciplinary content. Finally, the weak, uncertain focus on goals D1-G3 and F2-G4 is the most significant disconnect between general education and the college's strategic priorities. Particularly because of the emphasis they place on understanding cultural complexity and empowered global citizenship, both of such critical importance for our students and our mission as an institution contributing to a sustainable and just future, these goals must have a prominent and essential place in any future general education program at the college.

III. COMMON CURRICULUM CONTENT REQUIREMENTS

III.A. EXPLANATION

Previous assessment processes, such as they were, essentially assessed whether, say, a literature course was really teaching literature through micro-level assessment using five or six different learning outcomes. The same was true for all of the requirements in the Common Curriculum, creating a situation in which hundreds and hundreds of students had to be assessed across fifty or sixty individual learning outcomes in many dozens of courses. Collectively, faculty faced a situation where they would have been asked to produce thousands of individual assessments across the vast majority of courses producing a mountain of indigestible data for its own sake. That approach fails the expectations of Middle States regarding usability and cost-effectiveness.

We rejected such an approach in favour of assessing common outcomes built around the essential purposes of the program expressed through the breadth of analytical traditions in the Liberal Arts. Courses have been and will continue to be approved for inclusion in the Common Curriculum during the ordinary course proposal process. The instructor must satisfactorily explain both 1) how Common Learning Outcomes will be embedded in the course and 2) how the course meets some or all of the Category Content Requirements. Assessment will address the program-wide Common Learning Outcomes, not category content requirements that must be met up-front for course approval.

III.B. CATEGORY CONTENT REQUIREMENTS

The following content requirements must be met before a course will be approved for Common Curriculum credit. They are adapted from draft learning outcomes developed between 2006 and 2011 (that can be found in the Program Archive portion of the Common Curriculum website).

FIRST-YEAR PRECEPTORIAL (FYP) / HONORS PRECEPTORIAL (FYP-H) Content Requirements

FYP and FYP-H will provide instruction and guidance through which students achieve the learning outcomes specified under sections IIB and IIC above. Content developed by individual instructors will reflect the mission statement for FYP/FYP-H, which reads: Through reading, writing and discussing important ideas from diverse perspectives, students develop an appreciation for the values embodied in the liberal arts. These include the habits and skills of critical inquiry, a tolerance for diverse points of view, an awareness of ambiguity, and a deep curiosity about the social, ethical, cultural, political and natural world in which we live. All of this takes place in an environment that cultivates skills in analytical reading, clear and vigorous writing, and convincing argumentation. More specific guidelines on content are:

- a) Faculty will organize the course and select content around general themes. Themes are both broad and flexible: Dialogue and Diversity, The Outsider, Freedom and Oppression, etc. The current themes are Nature and Culture and Ways of Knowing.
- b) Faculty should emphasize gender, cultural diversity, and inter-disciplinarity in the course content. Sections should not be considered as 'departmental seminars' focusing on one discipline.
- c) Writing is to be a significant element in FYP. Students are expected to complete frequent writing assignments that receive written faculty response. The nature of the assignments may vary depending on the instructor. They could take the form, for example, of expository essays, creative writings, response journals, micro-themes, etc. At least two of these assignments should be substantial in nature, involving revisions and individual meetings between the faculty member and the student. Some Precept instructors ask their students to buy a handbook or style guide.
- d) The development of critical thinking and critical reading skills is to be a significant element of FYP.
- e) Speaking, whether through participation in discussion, debates, formal presentations, or the use of student discussion leaders, should also be an important part of the course.

SOPHOMORE RESEARCH SEMINAR (SRS) / SCHOLARS RESEARCH SEMINAR (SCH)

SRS and SCH will provide instruction and guidance through which students achieve the learning outcomes specified under sections IIB and IID above. Content developed by individual instructors will reflect the mission goals for the course, stated as:

- a) Contribute (with the other parts of the Common Curriculum) to exposing students to the breadth of human knowledge.
- b) Give all students one early experience in thinking like a researcher before or alongside their major program of study. Thinking like a researcher in SRS/SCH means taking the raw materials of any subject or topic, analyzing them critically and creatively, and developing a reasoned argument or theory grounded in evidence.
- c) Conduct research in a field that may not be the students' own using unfamiliar methods.
- d) Find the best way to present original research in a coherent, persuasive, and pleasing form, typically in the form of a 12-18 page research paper.

LITERATURE (HUL) Content Requirements

A Literature course will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Read and interpret primary written works of Literature throughout the course, with or

- without secondary studies and analyses.
- b) For purposes of this requirement, Literature is defined exclusively as short- and long-form written works of prose and/or verse.

QUANTITATIVE AND MATHEMATICAL REASONING (QMR) Content Requirements

A Quantitative and Mathematical Reasoning course will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Comprehend problems and express solutions using the language of mathematics, quantitative reasoning, and problem solving that requires rigorous logical demonstrations with multiple steps.
- b) Make use of symbolic and abstract representations and adapt non-trivial algorithms.

NATURAL SCIENCES WITH LAB (SCLB) Content Requirements

A Natural Sciences with Lab course will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Understand how science and the scientific method work.
- b) Comprehend the difference between a hypothesis and a theory, how data are interpreted, and how hypotheses are formed.

ARTS AND HUMANITIES (HUM) Content Requirements

Arts and Humanities courses will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Understand literary or philosophical texts and traditions and works and styles of art.
- b) Comprehend meaningful contexts, credible interpretations, and the pursuit of questions arising from the act of interpretation for such works.

SOCIAL SCIENCES (SOCS) Content Requirements

A Social Science course will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Analyze the human experience (the behavior of and interactions among individuals, groups, institutions, societies, or the natural environment) past and present.
- b) Develop an understanding of theories, concepts, methods, and ethical practices characteristic of particular disciplines in the social sciences.

SCIENCE, ENGINEERING, AND TECHNOLOGY Content Requirements

A Science, Engineering, and Technology course will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Develop an understanding of how foundational principles and methodologies in science, engineering, or technology are used to analyze and manipulate the natural and physical world.
- b) Evaluate evidence, results, and claims related to the impact of science, engineering, or technology on broader human or societal issues.

LANGUAGES AND CULTURES (LCC) Content Requirements

Languages and Cultures courses or advanced foreign-language training will provide instruction and guidance through which students:

- a) Learn and demonstrate methodologically- and disciplinary-grounded approaches to the study and analysis of cultural diversity and complexity
- b) Acquire a conscious and respectful recognition of cultural diversity and complexity within

- an understanding of our shared humanity.
- c) Be enabled and empowered as citizens of a global community to act across cultural boundaries justly, disinterestedly, and on the basis of human equality.

IV. ASSESSMENT PROCESS

IV.A. OVERVIEW

We assess the Common Curriculum by compiling portfolios containing direct and indirect evidence of student learning. The elected members of the General Education Board and the Director of General Education evaluate portfolios at the end of the junior year for each academic class. Direct evidence consists of 1) FYP and SRS assessments and 2) assessments by instructors of student learning in other courses that fulfil the requirements of the Common Curriculum. Indirect evidence consists of 1) a reflective response written by students prior to 2) an interview with the General Education Board during the senior year.

IV.B. SAMPLING

- The Director of General Education creates a stratified random sample of incoming first-year students such that between thirty and forty students in an academic class are evaluated. The sample is broadly representative of students' declared interests as a percentage of majors and the gender profile of the student body. Typically, samples are generic, but it has been possible to use alternate-year samples to focus on particular subsets of Union students. The samples are profiled in each annual report.
- Steps are taken to tailor the sample so that the burden on faculty is spread as evenly as possible across high-enrolling Common Curriculum courses such as FYP, introductory English courses, or the like. The Gen Ed Board studied the practical burden of the system on individual faculty based on a mock sample using the actual courses taken by students in the class of 2013. Across all the students and courses in that sample, we calculated that 101 instructors would be asked to complete only one IAR, seventeen instructors would be asked to complete two, four to complete three, and only one to complete four. In practice, however, because incoming students tend to cluster in certain introductory courses in departments like Math and English, the burden is not shared equally across faculty responsible for assessing students or within individual programs/departments. The DofGE can make adjustments to the sample composition when s/he determines that assessment assignments unduly burden an individual faculty member.
- Students selected for the assessment sample (AS) and their academic advisers are notified at the start of the academic year. Students in the AS are scheduled to attend an information session with the Director of General Education. Students receive complete written information and verbal explanation of the assessment process and their role in the AS. Advisers (ideally) follow-up with these students during subsequent advising sessions.
- Student Confidentiality and Consent. Students are assigned an AS number in order to protect their identity. Only the Director of General Education, Program Assistant, faculty adviser, and individual faculty members who conduct assessments know the identity of students who are part of the AS. For all other assessment purposes, including assessment reporting, the members of the AS are known only by their AS numbers. It is permissible under federal

guidelines to collect data for the sole purpose of improving instructional programs, but we practice appropriate informed consent when working with students in the process.

IV.C. PORTFOLIOS

- The Director of General Education and Program Assistant compile and archive portfolios as part of ordinary program administration.
- Portfolios will contain the following items:
 - 1) Basic information on the student identified by the AS number and the academic class profile.
 - 2) An instructor-completed individual assessment report (IAR) for FYP/FYP-H and SRS/SCH, using a specially designed assessment form for each.
 - 3) An instructor-completed individual assessment report (IAR) for each of the other Common Curriculum requirements consisting of HUL, QMR, SCLB, HUM, SOCS, SET, LCC, using a common assessment form.
 - 4) A student reflective response (SRR) evaluating the CC and making suggestions for improvements submitted during fall term of the senior year, in advance of an interview with portfolio evaluators (PE) in spring term of the senior year.

IV.D. PORTFOLIO SUBMISSIONS

- The individual assessment reports (IARs) for FYP/FYP-H, SRS/SCH, and the other Common Curriculum requirements are accessed and submitted through the Common Curriculum webapps.
- The student reflective response SRR are accessed and submitted through a ZARCA 'survey' administered by the Program Assistant.
- After registration closes in a given term, faculty with AS students are notified by the Director of General Education of the presence of such students in their classes for whom an IAR must be submitted.
- The DofGE tracks enrolment of individual students at the beginning of each academic term at two points. First, obtaining a report from the Register of the academic audits of the students in the sample at the beginning of the term; the DofGE manually tracks the enrolment of the students at this point. Second, once the drop/add deadline has passed, the DofGE reviews an updated audit for each student to adjust for changes in course selection. This information is compiled, finalized, and passed on to the Program Assistant, who submits the requests for IARs via email to the faculty involved.
- The IAR assesses learning outcomes A, B, and C. Instructors complete and submit the IAR via a single integrated webapp. The webapp makes this a one-stop process. Instructors complete the IAR form according to the prompts and instructions on the screen as well as attach and submit copies of the course syllabus, relevant assignments, and student work as needed/indicated. ***IARs must be submitted no later than two weeks from the deadline for final term grades.***
- The SRR assesses learning outcome C. Students complete and submit the SRR via a single integrated webapp following the prompts and instructions on the screen. The SRR prompts students to explain connections between courses and experiences in the Common Curriculum and their intellectual development as lifelong learners. ***SRRs must be submitted no later than the end of the fall term of the senior year.***

IV.E. EVALUTING and REPORTING on PORTFOLIOS

- The portfolio evaluators (PE) consist of the elected faculty members of the General Education Board, the Director of Writing Programs, and the Director of General Education.
- **Reading Portfolios.** PEs evaluate student portfolios during either the winter term of each academic year, in advance of meeting with seniors in the spring term to discuss their SRRs and experiences generally in the program. PEs read and evaluate entire portfolios irrespective of the individual PEs' particular disciplinary expertise.
- **Student Reflective Responses and Interviews.** SRSs are added to student portfolios upon receipt at the end of the fall term of the senior year. PEs evaluate each SRR. Students are interviewed during the spring term of the senior year. PEs will make a conscious effort to explore responses in the SRRs and make direct connections to Learning Outcomes A, B, and C. PEs will develop a set of standard questions to this end as the assessment process is phased in. It is typical for the Director of Assessment to attend this meeting as an observer.
- **Summary Evaluation and Analysis.** The responsibility of PEs is not to duplicate or double-check the work of faculty in the IARs or evaluate individual faculty or courses. The primary responsibility of PEs is to take a 'big picture' view of student learning in the Common Curriculum with a view to affirming current practice or making recommendations for program-scale improvements. These might range from revisions to content requirements or review of learning outcomes A, B, or C and the assessment process itself to significant changes in particular requirements, elimination of others, or addition of new ones.
- **Assessment Report.** Much of the evaluation process takes place in discussion between PEs after 1) reading portfolios and 2) completing student interviews. It is expected that the Assessment Report will include some tabulation of proficiencies in learning outcomes in the AS (see example below), but the report is primarily a narrative of the PEs analysis, evaluation, and recommendations. It is the responsibility of the Director of General Education to draw together the comments and discussion in drafting an assessment report. PEs are free to submit written comments or responses as part of compiling the assessment report.
- **Closing the Loop.** The annual Common Curriculum assessment report is posted to the *Teaching the Common Curriculum* Nexus site (open to all faculty) and submitted to the Director of Assessment. As Mary J Allen writes, 'If the assessment demonstrates that students are mastering the outcome at appropriate levels, you and your colleagues can celebrate. If some aspects of the results are disappointing, change is called for.' (Allen, *Assessing General Education Programs*, 19) In other words, assessment should not be premised on seeking out problems that require changes. If it isn't broke, we won't feel compelled to fix it. The primary goals of this assessment are to promote 1) curricular innovation in the Common Curriculum and 2) pedagogical and faculty development. We argue that the assessment report and information about particular requirements as experienced by real students provides an opportunity for faculty to reflect on the program or their own teaching within it. The act of completing an IAR can have the same effect at the level of individual instructors. In other words, we expect that participation by faculty and students in the IAR and SRRs will prompt self-reflection and innovation. We use FYP, SRS, and COT workshops, among other venues, as opportunities to encourage that process.
- **Alumni Follow-up.** Beginning in 2018, the Gen Ed Board will develop a small set of questions for the four- and nine-year surveys of alumni conducted by the college to evaluate the long-term, lasting impact of courses in the Common Curriculum.

COMMON CURRICULUM ASSESSMENT
STUDENT REFLECTIVE RESPONSE – MOCK-UP

THE COMMON CURRICULUM...

First-Year/Honors Preceptorial engages you in the exploration of ideas and diverse perspectives through critical reading, thinking, and writing.

Sophomore/Scholars Research Seminar ensures you have an early hands-on experience thinking and working as an academic researcher devoted to a term-length project.

Arts and Humanities enables you to find yourself and your voice in creative expression and the exploration of works of the imagination.

Literature expands the moral imagination needed to understand yourself and your fellow human beings through literary analysis, interpretation, and reflection.

Social Sciences confront you with the complexity and challenges of our world by analyzing the societies we create.

Natural Sciences (with Lab) changes the way you think about the natural world when you understand the scientific method and put it to work.

Science, Engineering, and Technology introduces you to Union's unique commitment to teaching Science and Engineering as Liberal Arts and examining their impact on our humanity.

Quantitative and Mathematical Reasoning equips you with unique insights and skills necessary to solve complex problems.

Language and Culture Courses empower you as a citizen of a global community to contribute across cultural boundaries and shape our shared future.

OUR BIG PICTURE GOALS...

The Common Curriculum aims to challenge your intellect, open your mind to new perspectives and ways of thinking, teach academic skills, and prepare you to engage life beyond Union:

- The diverse subjects and disciplines examined in the Common Curriculum help you appreciate **the breadth and complexity of human knowledge** for its own sake.
- The Common Curriculum **trains your mind to be flexible and adaptable** by engaging ideas and subjects beyond just your major or a focus on job/career-preparation.
- Common Curriculum courses **teach practical skills** in critical thinking, the construction of evidence-based analyses and arguments, and the ability to communicate effectively in writing and in person.
- The Liberal Arts explored in the Common Curriculum encourage you to **ask big questions about humanity, about life, ethics, and meaning.**

YOUR EXPERIENCES AND IDEAS...

Thinking about the last three years at Union, how have your experiences in the Common Curriculum made a positive impact on your learning?

*If you could **ADD one thing** that would make general education at Union College **truly unique and meaningful**, what would that be?*